182(f)(1) of the Act pertaining to provisions for major stationary sources, that NO_X emission reductions are not beneficial in a given ozone nonattainment area, then the basic I/M NO_X requirement may be omitted. States shall implement any required NO_X controls within 12 months of implementation of the program deadlines required in §51.373 of this subpart, except that newly implemented I/M programs shall include NO_X controls from the start.

- (c) On-board diagnostics (OBD). The performance standard shall include inspection of all 1996 and later light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks equipped with certified on-board diagnostic systems, and repair of malfunctions or system deterioration identified by or affecting OBD systems as specified in §51.357.
- (d) Modeling requirements. Equivalency of emission levels which will be achieved by the I/M program design in the SIP to those of the model program described in this section shall be demonstrated using the most current version of EPA's mobile source emission model and EPA guidance on the estimation of input parameters. Areas required to implement basic I/M programs shall meet the performance standard for the pollutants which cause them to be subject to basic requirements. Areas subject as a result of ozone nonattainment shall meet the standard for VOCs and shall demonstrate no NO_X increase, as required in paragraph (b) of this section.

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 40945, Aug. 6, 1996; 63 FR 24433, May 4, 1998]

§51.353 Network type and program evaluation.

Enhanced I/M programs shall be operated in a centralized test-only format, unless the State can demonstrate that a decentralized program is equally effective in achieving the enhanced I/M performance standard. Basic I/M programs can be centralized, decentralized, or a hybrid at the State's discretion, but shall be demonstrated to achieve the same emission reduction as the program described in §51.352 of this subpart.

(a) Presumptive equivalency. A decentralized network consisting of stations

that only perform official I/M testing (which may include safety-related inspections) and in which owners and employees of those stations, or companies owning those stations, are contractually or legally barred from engaging in motor vehicle repair or service, motor vehicle parts sales, and motor vehicle sale and leasing, either directly or indirectly, and are barred from referring vehicle owners to particular providers of motor vehicle repair services (except as provided in §51.369(b)(1) of this subpart) shall be considered equivalent to a centralized, test-only system. States may allow such stations to engage in the sale of refreshments for the use of employees and customers waiting at the station and may fulfill other functions typically carried out by the State such as renewal of vehicle registration and driver's licenses, or tax and fee collections.

- (b) Case-by-case equivalency. (1) Credits for test-and-repair networks, i.e., those not meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, are assumed to be 50% less than those for a test-only network for the tailpipe emission test, purge test, evaporative system integrity test, catalyst check, and gas cap check; and 75% less for the evaporative canister checks, PCV check, and air system checks. Smaller reductions in credits for the various test protocols may be claimed if a State can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Administrator that based on past performance with the specific test-type and inspection standards employed, its test-and-repair system will exceed these levels. At a minimum, such a demonstration shall include:
- (i) Surveys that assess the effectiveness of repairs performed on vehicles that failed the tailpipe emission test and evaporative system tests;
- (ii) In programs including tampering checks, measurement of actual tampering rates, their change over time, and the change attributable to finding and fixing such tampering as opposed to deterrence effects; and
- (iii) The results of undercover surveys of inspector effectiveness as it relates to identifying vehicles that need repair.
- (2) In the case of hybrid systems, which may be implemented in basic I/M

areas, including both test-only and test-and-repair facilities, full credit shall apply to the portion of the fleet initially tested and subsequently retested at a test-only facility meeting the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, and to the portion of the fleet initially tested and failed at a test-and-repair facility but subsequently passing a comprehensive retest at a test-only facility meeting those same requirements. The credit loss assumptions described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall apply to the portion of the fleet initially passed at a test-and-repair facility, and to the portion initially failed at a test-only facility and retested at a test-and-repair facility.

- (3) Areas operating test-and-repair networks or hybrid networks may, in the future, claim greater effectiveness than described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, if a demonstration of greater effectiveness is made to the satisfaction of the Administrator using the program evaluation protocol described in paragraph (c) of this section.
- (c) Program evaluation. Enhanced I/M programs shall include an ongoing evaluation to quantify the emission reduction benefits of the program, and to determine if the program is meeting the requirements of the Clean Air Act and this subpart.
- (1) The State shall report the results of the program evaluation on a biennial basis, starting two years after the initial start date of mandatory testing as required in §51.373 of this subpart.
- (2) The evaluation shall be considered in establishing actual emission reductions achieved from I/M for the purposes of satisfying the requirements of sections 182(g)(1) and 182(g)(2) of the Clean Air Act, relating to reductions in emissions and compliance demonstration.
- (3) The evaluation program shall consist, at a minimum, of those items described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section and program evaluation data using a sound evaluation methodology, as approved by EPA, and evaporative system checks, specified in §51.357(a) (9) and (10) of this subpart, for model years subject to those evaporative system test procedures. The test data shall be obtained from a representa-

tive, random sample, taken at the time of initial inspection (before repair) on a minimum of 0.1 percent of the vehicles subject to inspection in a given year. Such vehicles shall receive a State administered or monitored test, as specified in this paragraph (c)(3), prior to the performance of I/M-triggered repairs during the inspection cycle under consideration.

- (4) The program evaluation test data shall be submitted to EPA and shall be capable of providing accurate information about the overall effectiveness of an I/M program, such evaluation to begin no later than November 30, 1998.
- (5) Areas that qualify for and choose to implement an OTR low enhanced I/M program, as established in §51.351(h), and that claim in their SIP less emission reduction credit than the basic performance standard for one or more pollutants, are exempt from the reof quirements paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(4) of this section. The reports required under §51.366 of this part shall be sufficient in these areas to satisfy the requirements of Clean Air Act for program reporting.
- (d) SIP requirements. (1) The SIP shall include a description of the network to be employed, the required legal authority, and, in the case of areas making claims under paragraph (b) of this section, the required demonstration.
- (2) The SIP shall include a description of the evaluation schedule and protocol, the sampling methodology, the data collection and analysis system, the resources and personnel for evaluation, and related details of the evaluation program, and the legal authority enabling the evaluation program.

[57 FR 52987, Nov. 5, 1992, as amended at 58 FR 59367, Nov. 9, 1993; 61 FR 39037, July 25, 1996; 63 FR 1368, Jan. 9, 1998]

§51.354 Adequate tools and resources.

(a) Administrative resources. The program shall maintain the administrative resources necessary to perform all of the program functions including quality assurance, data analysis and reporting, and the holding of hearings and adjudication of cases. A portion of the test fee or a separately assessed per vehicle fee shall be collected, placed in a dedicated fund and retained, to be